

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: FAO: Rt Hon Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP
Date: 26 September 2022 15:06:50

Dear Ms Trevelyan,

I have read the report of The Advisory Mission and agree with its principal finding, i.e. that the scheme would have an adverse impact on the OUV of the World Heritage Site, Stonehenge, and that a southern bypass should be further explored. As you will know, The Mission admitted that at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the boundary of the site.

You will also be aware that National Highways has simply reiterated many of the arguments it has raised previously to justify a scheme which is clearly unacceptable to not only the government's independent specialist examiners but also UNESCO's World Heritage Committee and the former Transport Secretary himself, who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.

We all know that the High Court judgment quashed the DCO in part because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives, further to which, the National Highways' response fails to alleviate any of the above concerns.

I trust you will take into account that I continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned.

However, should the Transport Secretary intend to proceed with the scheme, I assume that it will be the subject of another formal, public examination so that all of the new information submitted by National Highways and others since 2020 may be fully and openly discussed, with all aspects taken into account and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate.

Yours sincerely,

Emily Saner